



CLEVELAND HEIGHTS

**Charter Review Commission
Wednesday, February 28, 2024
6:00 PM
City Hall – Executive Conference Room**

AGENDA

- 1. Call to Order**
- 2. Roll Call**
- 3. Approval of Minutes of February meetings**
- 4. Review and Confirm or Amend Agenda - - Items 1 – 4: 8 minutes**
- 5. Public Comments (Each speaker is limited to 3 minutes. Public comment at this point in the meeting is limited to a total of ten minutes; additional public comment time will be added to the end of the agenda if needed.) – 10 minutes**
- 6. Old Business**
 - a. Status of responses from elected officials and others to invitations to meet with CRC – 2 minutes**
 - 1. Awaiting survey responses from A Mattox.**
 - 2. Working on scheduling for Lakewood Mayor George**
 - b. Reflections on February 12 public input meeting – 10 minutes**
 - c. Revised draft project plan for CRC**
 - d. Update on use of CRC email by the public – protocols for responses – 5 minutes.**
 - e. Continue review of current City Charter – 40 minutes**
- 7. New Business**



CLEVELAND HEIGHTS

- a. Discussion of draft text for Charter Article III, Section 4 regarding filling City Council vacancies – 10 minutes
 - b. Does CRC want to schedule meeting with City directors and/or staff or with speakers from other cities (for example, Mayor Welo suggested we speak to one of her council – 10 minutes
8. Review of Meeting Action Items – 5 minutes
9. Public Comments (10 minutes) – 10 minutes
10. Review of Meeting for Lessons Learned – 3 minutes
11. Next Meeting: Wednesday, March 6 at 6 PM.
12. Adjourn



CLEVELAND HEIGHTS

EXHIBIT A

Possible Bucket Groupings for Charter Revision

Bucket #1 - *largely adopting text developed by 2019 CRC*

- Update and simplify presentation and language throughout
- Replacing 'electors' with 'voters', for example, replacing Roman numerals with Arabic numbers, gender-neutral language throughout

Bucket #2

- Adjust numbers, percentages, and the like on numbers of signatures needed for initiatives, recalls, etc., for days to file petitions, to fill vacancies, etc. – *We did not discuss/agree on any adjustments to percentage of signatures needed. The only context in which changes in number of sigs was raised by CC members was number needed on petitions of candidates.*

The context for change in days was suggestions by some CCs to give CC 60 days to fill vacancy and discussion of whether official publicity should be issued 30 days (or some other advance date) before early voting, rather than 30 days before election day.

- Adjust election requirements for appointed city councilors – *This refers to when an appointed CC has to stand for election.*

Bucket #3

- 'Ethics for elected officials' and 'parliamentary procedure' trainings for all newly-elected city officials – *I would add orientation and training of roles and responsibilities of mayor, CC and directors.*

- Comprehensive non-discrimination policy statement – Should this be separate bucket?

Bucket #4

- Balance of powers between executive and legislative branches
- Council authority to request information and/or attendance at Council meetings from department heads, through mayor's office or directly
- Individual councilor's authority to contact department heads directly
- Mayor's authority to appoint department heads, with or without Council approval
- Who appoints to fill vacancy on dead-locked Council? Council president or mayor?
- Law Director available to advise City Council? On what terms?
- Add statement of expectation of cooperation and collaboration between the 2 branches*

Bucket #5 - City Council structure: at-large only, ward only, hybrid at-large and ward? - *If a*



CLEVELAND HEIGHTS

change is proposed using wards to any extent, we need to address how the ward boundaries would be set. I believe UH proposal (not adopted by majority of its CRC) was to use model charter language, which provides for non-partisan commission to set boundaries and to adjust as needed after each census. Setting boundaries is complicated and likely would involve the need to hire staff to assist.

Bucket #6 - Ranked Choice Voting – Again, this would require drafting to effect this. Model Charter refers to Ranked Choice Voting Resource Center for mechanics. I have not researched that. When we elect CC, in effect we have a version of RCV because the ballot has multiple candidates for multiple seats, with the highest vote getters winning a seat.